

Ricci Flow and Quantum Theory

Robert Carroll

University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

E-mail: rcarroll@math.uiuc.edu

We show how Ricci flow is related to quantum theory via Fisher information and the quantum potential.

1 Introduction

In [9, 13, 14] we indicated some relations between Weyl geometry and the quantum potential, between conformal general relativity (GR) and Dirac-Weyl theory, and between Ricci flow and the quantum potential. We would now like to develop this a little further. First we consider simple Ricci flow as in [35, 49]. Thus from [35] we take the Perelman entropy functional as **(1A)** $\mathfrak{F}(g, f) = \int_M (|\nabla f|^2 + R) \exp(-f) dV$ (restricted to f such that $\int_M \exp(-f) dV = 1$) and a Nash (or differential) entropy via **(1B)** $N(u) = \int_M u \log(u) dV$ where $u = \exp(-f)$ (M is a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary). One writes $dV = \sqrt{\det(g)} \prod dx^i$ and shows that if $g \rightarrow g + \text{sh}(g, h \in \mathcal{M} = \text{Riem}(M))$ then **(1C)** $\partial_s \det(g)|_{s=0} = g^{ij} h_{ij} \det(g) = (\text{Tr}_g h) \det(g)$. This comes from a matrix formula of the following form **(1D)** $\partial_s \det(A + B)|_{s=0} = (A^{-1} : B) \det(A)$ where $A^{-1} : B = a^{ij} b_{ji} = a^{ij} b_{ij}$ for symmetric B (a^{ij} comes from A^{-1}). If one has Ricci flow **(1E)** $\partial_s g = -2\text{Ric}$ (i.e. $\partial_s g_{ij} = -2R_{ij}$) then, considering $h \sim -2\text{Ric}$, one arrives at **(1F)** $\partial_s dV = -R dV$ where $R = g^{ij} R_{ij}$ (more general Ricci flow involves **(1G)** $\partial_t g_{ik} = -2(R_{ik} + \nabla_i \nabla_k \phi)$). We use now t and s interchangeably and suppose $\partial_t g = -2\text{Ric}$ with $u = \exp(-f)$ satisfying $\square^* u = 0$ where $\square^* = -\partial_t - \Delta + R$. Then $\int_M \exp(-f) dV = 1$ is preserved since **(1H)** $\partial_t \int_M u dV = \int_M (\partial_s u - Ru) dV = -\int_M \Delta u dV = 0$ and, after some integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t N &= \int_M [\partial_t u (\log(u) + 1) dV + u \log(u) \partial_t dV] = \\ &= \int_M (|\nabla f|^2 + R) e^{-f} dV = \mathfrak{F}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

In particular for $R \geq 0$, N is monotone as befits an entropy. We note also that $\square^* u = 0$ is equivalent to **(1I)** $\partial_t f = -\Delta f + |\nabla f|^2 - R$.

It was also noted in [49] that \mathfrak{F} is a Fisher information functional (cf. [8, 10, 24, 25]) and we showed in [13] that for a given 3-D manifold M and a Weyl-Schrödinger picture of quantum evolution based on [42, 43] (cf. also [4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16, 17, 51]) one can express \mathfrak{F} in terms of a quantum potential Q in the form **(1J)** $\mathfrak{F} \sim \alpha \int_M Q P dV + \beta \int_M |\vec{\phi}|^2 P dV$ where $\vec{\phi}$ is a Weyl vector and P is a probability distribution associated with a quantum mass density $\hat{\rho} \sim |\psi|^2$. There will be a corresponding Schrödinger

equation (SE) in a Weyl space as in [10, 13] provided there is a phase S (for $\psi = |\psi| \exp(iS/\hbar)$) satisfying **(1K)** $(1/m) \text{div}(P \nabla S) = \Delta P - R P$ (arising from $\partial_t \hat{\rho} - \Delta \hat{\phi} = -(1/m) \text{div}(\hat{\rho} \nabla S)$ and $\partial_t \hat{\rho} + \Delta \hat{\rho} - R \hat{\rho} = 0$ with $\hat{\rho} \sim P \sim u \sim |\psi|^2$). In the present work we show that there can exist solutions S of **(1K)** and this establishes a connection between Ricci flow and quantum theory (via Fisher information and the quantum potential). Another aspect is to look at a relativistic situation with conformal perturbations of a 4-D semi-Riemannian metric g based on a quantum potential (defined via a quantum mass). Indeed in a simple minded way we could perhaps think of a conformal transformation $\hat{g}_{ab} = \Omega^2 g_{ab}$ (in 4-D) where following [14] we can imagine ourselves immersed in conformal general relativity (GR) with metric \hat{g} and **(1L)** $\exp(Q) \sim \mathfrak{M}^2 / m^2 = \Omega^2 = \hat{\phi}^{-1}$ with $\beta \sim \mathfrak{M}$ where β is a Dirac field and Q a quantum potential $Q \sim (\hbar^2 / m^2 c^2) (\square_g \sqrt{\rho}) / \sqrt{\rho}$ with $\rho \sim |\psi|^2$ referring to a quantum matter density. The theme here (as developed in [14]) is that Weyl-Dirac action with Dirac field β leads to $\beta \sim \mathfrak{M}$ and is equivalent to conformal GR (cf. also [8, 10, 36, 45, 46, 47] and see [28] for ideas on Ricci flow gravity).

REMARK 1.1. For completeness we recall (cf. [10, 50]) for $\mathfrak{L}_G = (1/2\chi) \sqrt{-g} R$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \mathfrak{L} &= \frac{1}{2\chi} \left[R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} R \right] \sqrt{-g} \delta g^{ab} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\chi} g^{ab} \sqrt{-g} \delta R_{ab}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

The last term can be converted to a boundary integral if certain derivatives of g_{ab} are fixed there. Next following [7, 9, 14, 27, 38, 39, 40] the Einstein frame GR action has the form

$$S_{GR} = \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} (R - \alpha (\nabla \psi)^2 + 16\pi L_M) \quad (1.3)$$

(cf. [7]) whose conformal form (conformal GR) is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}_{GR} &= \int d^4 x \sqrt{-\hat{g}} e^{-\psi} \times \\ &\times \left[\hat{R} - \left(\alpha - \frac{3}{2} \right) (\hat{\nabla} \psi)^2 + 16\pi e^{-\psi} L_M \right] = \\ &= \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[\hat{\phi} \hat{R} - \left(\alpha - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{(\hat{\nabla} \hat{\phi})^2}{\hat{\phi}} + 16\pi \hat{\phi}^2 L_M \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where $\hat{g}_{ab} = \Omega^2 g_{ab}$, $\Omega^2 = \exp(\psi) = \phi$, and $\hat{\phi} = \exp(-\psi) = \phi^{-1}$. If we omit the matter Lagrangians, and set $\lambda = \frac{3}{2} - \alpha$, (1.4) becomes for $\hat{g}_{ab} \rightarrow g_{ab}$

$$\tilde{S} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} e^{-\psi} [R + \lambda(\nabla\psi)^2]. \quad (1.5)$$

In this form on a 3-D manifold M we have **exactly** the situation treated in [10, 13] with an associated SE in Weyl space based on **(1K)**. ■

2 Solution of (1K)

Consider now **(1K)** $(1/m)\text{div}(P\nabla S) = \Delta P - RP$ for $P \sim \sim \hat{\rho} \sim |\psi|^2$ and $\int P \sqrt{|g|} d^3x = 1$ (in 3-D we will use here $\sqrt{|g|}$ for $\sqrt{-g}$). One knows that $\text{div}(P\nabla S) = P\Delta S + \nabla P \cdot \nabla S$ and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta\psi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_m(\sqrt{|g|}\nabla\psi), \quad \nabla\psi = g^{mn}\partial_n\psi \\ \int_M \text{div}\mathbf{V} \sqrt{|g|} d^3x &= \int_{\partial M} \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{ds} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

(cf. [10]). Recall also $\int P \sqrt{|g|} d^3x = 1$ and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} Q &\sim -\frac{\hbar^2}{8m} \left[\left(\frac{\nabla P}{P} \right)^2 - 2 \left(\frac{\Delta P}{P} \right) \right] \\ \langle Q \rangle_\psi &= \int PQ d^3x \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.2)$$

Now in 1-D an analogous equation to **(1K)** would be **(3A)** $(PS')' = P' - RP = F$ with solution determined via

$$\begin{aligned} PS' &= P' - \int RP + c \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow S' &= \partial_x \log(P) - \frac{1}{P} \int RP + cP^{-1} \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow S &= \log(P) - \int \frac{1}{P} \int RP + c \int P^{-1} + k, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

which suggests that solutions of **(1K)** do in fact exist in general. We approach the general case in Sobolev spaces à la [1, 2, 15, 22]. The volume element is defined via $\eta = \sqrt{|g|} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$ (where $n = 3$ for our purposes) and $*$: $\wedge^p M \rightarrow \wedge^{n-p} M$ is defined via

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (*\alpha)_{\lambda_{p+1}\dots\lambda_n} &= \frac{1}{p!} \eta_{\lambda_1\dots\lambda_n} \alpha^{\lambda_1\dots\lambda_p} \\ (\alpha, \beta) &= \frac{1}{p!} \alpha_{\lambda_1\dots\lambda_p} \beta^{\lambda_1\dots\lambda_p} \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (2.4)$$

$*1 = \eta$; $**\alpha = (-1)^{p(n-p)}\alpha$; $*\eta = 1$; $\alpha \wedge (*\beta) = (\alpha, \beta)\eta$. One writes now $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \int_M (\alpha, \beta)\eta$ and, for (Ω, ϕ) a local chart we have **(2A)** $\int_M f dV = \int_{\phi(\Omega)} (\sqrt{|g|}f) \circ \phi^{-1} \prod dx^i$

($\sim \int_M f \sqrt{|g|} \prod dx^i$). Then one has **(2B)** $\langle d\alpha, \gamma \rangle = \langle \alpha, \delta\gamma \rangle$ for $\alpha \in \wedge^p M$ and $\gamma \in \wedge^{p+1} M$ where the codifferential δ on p-forms is defined via **(2C)** $\delta = (-1)^p *^{-1} d*$. Then $\delta^2 = d^2 = 0$ and $\Delta = d\delta + \delta d$ so that $\Delta f = \delta df = -\nabla^\nu \nabla_\nu f$. Indeed for $\alpha \in \wedge^p M$

$$(\delta\alpha)_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{p-1}} = -\nabla^\gamma \alpha_{\gamma, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{p-1}} \quad (2.5)$$

with $\delta f = 0$ ($\delta : \wedge^p M \rightarrow \wedge^{p-1} M$). Then in particular **(2D)** $\langle \Delta\phi, \phi \rangle = \langle \delta d\phi, \phi \rangle = \langle d\phi, d\phi \rangle = \int_M \nabla^\nu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi \eta$.

Now to deal with weak solutions of an equation in divergence form look at an operator **(2E)** $Au = -\nabla(a\nabla u) \sim (-1/\sqrt{|g|}) \partial_m(\sqrt{|g|} a g^{mn} \nabla_n u) = -\nabla_m(a\nabla^m u)$ so that for $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(M)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M Au\phi dV &= -\int [\nabla_m(a g^{mn} \nabla_n u)] \phi dV = \\ &= \int a g^{mn} \nabla_n u \nabla_m \phi dV = \int a \nabla^m u \nabla_m \phi dV. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Here one imagines M to be a complete Riemannian manifold with Sobolev spaces $H_0^1(M) \sim H^1(M)$ (see [1, 3, 15, 26, 29, 48]). The notation in [1] is different and we think of $H^1(M)$ as the space of L^2 functions u on M with $\nabla u \in L^2$ and H_0^1 means the completion of $\mathcal{D}(M)$ in the H^1 norm $\|u\|^2 = \int_M [|u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2] dV$. Following [29] we can also assume $\partial M = \emptyset$ with M connected for all M under consideration. Then let $H = H^1(M)$ be our Hilbert space and consider the operator $A(S) = -(1/m)\nabla(P\nabla S)$ with

$$B(S, \psi) = \frac{1}{m} \int P \nabla^m S \nabla_m \psi dV \quad (2.7)$$

for $S, \psi \in H_0^1 = H^1$. Then $A(S) = RP - \Delta P = F$ becomes **(2F)** $B(S, \psi) = \langle F, \psi \rangle = \int F\psi dV$ and one has **(2G)** $|B(S, \psi)| \leq c \|S\|_H \|\psi\|_H$ and $|B(S, S)| = \int P(\nabla S)^2 dV$. Now $P \geq 0$ with $\int P dV = 1$ but to use the Lax-Milgram theory we need here $|B(S, S)| \geq \beta \|S\|_H^2$ ($H = H^1$). In this direction one recalls that in Euclidean space for $\psi \in H_0^1(\mathbf{R}^3)$ there follows **(2H)** $\|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq c \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^2$ (Friedrich's inequality — cf. [48]) which would imply $\|\psi\|_H^2 \leq (c+1)\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^2$. However such Sobolev and Poincaré-Sobolev inequalities become more complicated on manifolds and **(2H)** is in no way automatic (cf. [1, 29, 48]). However we have some recourse here to the definition of P , namely $P = \exp(-f)$, which basically is a conformal factor and $P > 0$ unless $f \rightarrow \infty$. One heuristic situation would then be to assume **(2I)** $0 < \epsilon \leq P(x)$ on M (and since $\int \exp(-f) dV = 1$ with $dV = \sqrt{|g|} \prod_1^3 dx^i$ we must then have $\epsilon \int dV \leq 1$ or $\text{vol}(M) = \int_M dV \leq (1/\epsilon)$). Then from **(2G)** we have **(2J)** $|B(S, S)| \geq \epsilon \|(\nabla S)\|^2$ and for any $\kappa > 0$ it follows: $|B(S, S)| + \kappa \|S\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \min(\epsilon, \kappa) \|S\|_{H^1}^2$. This means via Lax-Milgram that the equation

$$A(S) + \kappa S = -\frac{1}{m} \nabla(P\nabla S) + \kappa S = F = RP - \Delta P \quad (2.8)$$

has a unique weak solution $S \in H^1(M)$ for any $\kappa > 0$ (assuming $F \in L^2(M)$). Equivalently $(\mathbf{2K}) - \frac{1}{m}[P\Delta S + (\nabla P)(\nabla S)] + \kappa S = F$ has a unique weak solution $S \in H^1(M)$. This is close but we cannot put $\kappa = 0$. A different approach following from remarks in [29], pp. 56–57 (corrected in [30], p. 248), leads to an heuristic weak solution of $(\mathbf{1K})$. Thus from a result of Yau [53] if M is a complete simply connected 3-D differential manifold with sectional curvature $K < 0$ one has for $u \in \mathcal{D}(M)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\psi| dV &\leq (2\sqrt{-K})^{-1} \int_M |\nabla \psi| dV \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \int_M |\psi|^2 dV \leq c \int_M |\nabla \psi|^2 dV. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Hence $(\mathbf{2H})$ holds and one has $\|\psi\|_{H^1}^2 \leq (1+c)\|\nabla \psi\|^2$. Moreover if M is bounded and simply connected with a reasonable boundary ∂M (e.g. weakly convex) one expects $(\mathbf{2L}) \int_M |\psi|^2 dV \leq c \int_M |\nabla \psi|^2 dV$ for $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ (cf. [41]). In either case $(\mathbf{2M}) |B(S, S)| \geq \epsilon \|(\nabla S)^2\| \geq (c+1)^{-1} \epsilon \|S\|_{H_0^1}^2$ and this leads via Lax-Milgram again to a sample result

THEOREM 2.1. Let M be a bounded and simply connected 3-D differential manifold with a reasonable boundary ∂M . Then there exists a unique weak solution of $(\mathbf{1K})$ in $H_0^1(M)$.

REMARK 2.1. One must keep in mind here that the metric is changing under the Ricci flow and assume that estimates involving e.g. K are considered over some time interval. ■

REMARK 2.2. There is an extensive literature concerning eigenvalue bounds on Riemannian manifolds and we cite a few such results. Here $I_\infty(M) \sim \inf_\Omega (A(\partial\Omega)/V(\Omega))$ where Ω runs over (connected) open subsets of M with compact closure and smooth boundary (cf. [18, 19]). Yau's result is $I_\infty(M) \geq 2\sqrt{-K}$ (with equality for the 3-D hyperbolic space) and Cheeger's result involves follows $|\nabla \phi|_{L^2} \geq (1/2)I_\infty(M)\|\phi\|_{L^2} \geq \sqrt{-K}\|\phi\|_{L^2}$. There are many other results where e.g. $\lambda_1 \geq c(\text{vol}(M))^{-2}$ for M a compact 3-D hyperbolic manifold of finite volume (see [21, 34, 44] for this and variations). There are also estimates for the first eigenvalue along a Ricci flow in [33, 37] and estimates of the form $\lambda_1 \geq 3K$ for closed 3-D manifolds with Ricci curvature $R \geq 2K$ ($K > 0$) in [32, 33]. In fact Ling obtains $\lambda_1 \geq K + (\pi^2/\tilde{d}^2)$ where \tilde{d} is the diameter of the largest interior ball in nodal domains of the first eigenfunction. There are also estimates $\lambda_1 \geq (\pi^2/d^2)$ ($d = \text{diam}(M)$, $R \geq 0$) in [31, 52, 54] and the papers of Ling give an excellent survey of results, new and old, including Ling estimates of a similar kind for the first Dirichlet and Neumann eigenvalues. ■

Submitted on November 02, 2007
Accepted on November 19, 2007

References

1. Aubin T. Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry. Springer, 1998.
2. Aubin T. A course in differential geometry. Amer. Math. Soc., 2001.
3. Aubin T. Nonlinear analysis on manifolds. Monge-Ampere equations. Springer, 1982.
4. Audretsch J. *Phys. Rev. D*, 1981, v. 24, 1470–1477 and 1983, v. 27, 2872–2884.
5. Audretsch J., Gähler F., and Straumann N. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 1984, v. 95, 41–51.
6. Audretsch J. and Lämmerzahl C. *Class. Quant. Gravity*, 1988, v. 5, 1285–1295.
7. Bonal R., Quiros I., and Cardenas R. arXiv: gr-qc/0010010.
8. Carroll R. Fluctuations, information, gravity, and the quantum potential. Springer, 2006.
9. Carroll R. arXiv: math-ph/0701077.
10. Carroll R. On the quantum potential. Arima Publ., 2007.
11. Carroll R. *Teor. Mat. Fizika*, 2007, v. 152, 904–914.
12. Carroll R. *Found. Phys.*, 2005, v. 35, 131–154.
13. Carroll R. arXiv: math-ph 0703065; *Progress in Physics*, 2007, v. 4, 22–24.
14. Carroll R. arXiv: gr-qc/0705.3921.
15. Carroll R. Abstract methods in partial differential equations. Harper-Row, 1969.
16. Castro C. *Found. Phys.*, 1992, v. 22, 569–615; *Found. Phys. Lett.*, 1991, v. 4, 81.
17. Castro C. and Mahecha J. *Prog. Phys.*, 2006, v. 1, 38–45.
18. Chavel I. Riemannian geometry — a modern introduction. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993.
19. Cheeger J. Problems in analysis. Princeton Univ. Press, 1970.
20. Chow B., Peng Lu, and Lei Ni. Hamilton's Ricci flow. Amer. Math. Soc., 2006.
21. Dodziuk J. and Randol B. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 1986, v. 24, 133–139.
22. Evans L. Partial differential equations. Amer. Math. Soc., 1998.
23. Fisher A. arXiv: math.DG/0312519.
24. Frieden B. Physics from Fisher information. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998; Science from Fisher information, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004.
25. Frieden B. and Gatenby R. Exploratory data analysis using Fisher information. Springer, 2007.
26. Gilbarg D. and Trudinger N. Elliptic partial differential equations of second order. Springer, 1983.
27. Gonzalez T., Leon G., and Quiros I. arXiv: astro-ph/0502383 and astro-ph/0702227.
28. Graf W. arXiv: gr-qc/0209002 and gr-qc/0602054; *Phys. Rev. D*, 2003, v. 67, 024002.
29. Hebey E. Sobolev spaces on Riemannian manifolds. *Lect. Notes Math.*, 1365, Springer, 1996.
30. Hebey E. Nonlinear analysis on manifolds: Sobolev spaces and inequalities. *Courant Lect. Notes on Mat.*, Vol 5, 1999.

31. Li P. and Yau S. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, 1980, v. 36, 205–239.
32. Lichnerowicz A. *Geometrie des groupes de transformation*. Dunod, 1958.
33. Ling J. arXiv: math.DG/0406061, 0406296, 0406437, 0406562, 0407138, 0710.2574, 0710.4291, and 0710.4326.
34. McKean H. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 1970, v. 4, 359–366.
35. Müller R. *Differential Harnack inequalities and the Ricci flow*. Eur. Math. Soc. Pub. House, 2006.
36. Noldus J. arXiv: gr-qc/0508104.
37. Perelman G. arXiv: math.DG/0211159.
38. Quiros I. *Phys. Rev. D*, 2000, v. 61, 124026; arXiv: hep-th/0009169.
39. Quiros I. arXiv: hep-th/0010146; gr-qc/9904004 and 000401.
40. Quiros I., Bonal R., and Cardenas R. arXiv: gr-qc/9905071 and 0007071.
41. Saloff-Coste L. *Aspects of Sobolev type inequalities*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002.
42. Santamato E. *Phys. Rev. D*, 1984, v. 29, 216–222.
43. Santamato E. *Phys. Rev. D*, 1985, v. 32, 2615–26221; *J. Math. Phys.*, 1984, v. 25, 2477–2480.
44. Schoen R. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 1982, v. 17, 233–238.
45. Shojai F. and Golshani M. *Inter. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 1988, v. 13, 677–693 and 2135–2144.
46. Shojai F. and Shojai A. arXiv: gr-qc/0306099 and 0404102.
47. Shojai F., Shojai A., and Golshani M. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, 1998, v. 13, 677–693 and 2135–2144.
48. Tintarev K. and Fieseler K. *Concentration compactness*. Imperial College Press, 2007.
49. Topping P. *Lectures on the Ricci flow*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006.
50. Wald R. *General Relativity*. Univ. Chicago Press, 1984.
51. Wheeler J. *Phys. Rev. D*, 1990, v. 41, 431–441; 1991, v. 44, 1769–1773.
52. Yang D. *Pacific Jour. Math.*, 1999, v. 190, 383–398.
53. Yau S. *Annales Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, 1975, v. 8, 487–507.
54. Zhong J. and Yang H. *Sci. Sinica, Ser. A*, 1984, v. 27, 1265–1273.