# Low-Lying Collective Levels in <sup>224</sup>-<sup>234</sup>Th Nuclei

Sohair M. Diab

*Faculty of Education, Phys. Dept., Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt* E-mail: mppe2@yahoo.co.uk

The low-lying collective levels in  $224-234$  Th isotopes are investigated in the frame work of the interacting boson approximation model (IBA-1). The contour plot of the potential energy surfaces,  $V(\beta, \gamma)$ , shows two wells on the prolate and oblate sides which indicate that all thorium nuclei are deformed and have rotational characters. The levels energy, electromagnetic transition rates B(E1) and B(E2) are calculated. Bending at angular momentum  $I^+=20$  has been observed for  $^{230}$ Th. Staggering effect has been calculated and beat patterns are obtained which indicate the existence of an interaction between the ground state band, (GSB), and the octupole negative parity band, (NPB). All calculated values are compared with the available experimental data and show reasonable agreement.

#### 1 Introduction

The level schemes of <sup>224</sup>-<sup>234</sup>Th isotopes are characterized by the existence of two bands of opposite parity and lie in the region of octupole deformations. The primary evidence for this octupole deformaton comes from the parity-doublet bands, fast electric transition  $(E1)$  between the negative and positive parity bands and the low-lying  $1^-$ ,  $0^+_2$  and  $2^+_2$  excitation energy states. This kind of deformation has offered a real challenge for nuclear structure models. Even-even thorium nuclei have been studied within the frame work of the Spdf interacting boson model [1] and found the properties of the low-lying states can be understood without stable octupole deformation. High spin states in some of these nuclei suggest that octupole deformation develops with increasing spin.

A good description of the first excited positive and negative parity bands of nuclei in the rare earth and the actinide region has achieved [2–4] using the interacting vector boson model. The analysis of the eigen values of the model Hamiltonian reveals the presence of an interaction between these bands. Due to this interaction staggering effect has reproduced including the beat patterns.

Shanmugam-Kamalahran (SK) model [5] for  $\alpha$ -decay has been applied successfully to <sup>226-232</sup>Th for studying their shapes, deformations of the parent and daughter nuclei as well as the charge distribution process during the decay. Also, a solution of the Bohr Hamiltonian [6] aiming at the description of the transition from axial octupole deformation to octupole vibrations in light actinides  $^{224}$ Ra and  $^{226}$ Th is worked out. The parameter free predictions of the model are in good agreement with the experimental data of the two nuclei, where they known to lie closest to the transition from octupole deformation to octupole vibrations in this region. A new frame-work for comparing fusion probabilities in reactions [7] forming heavy elements, <sup>220</sup>Th, eliminates both theoretical and experimental uncertinities, allowing insights into systematic behavior, and revealing previously hidden characteristics in fusion reactions forming heavy elements.

It is found that cluster model [8] succeeded in reproducing satisfactorily the properties of normal deformed ground state and super deformed excited bands [9, 10] in a wide range of even-even nuclei,  $222 \le A \ge 242[11]$ . The calculated spin dependences [12] to the parity splitting and the electric multi pole transition moments are in agreement with the experimental data. Also, a new formula between half-lives, decay energies and microscopic density-dependent cluster model [13] has been used and the half-lives of cluster radioactivity are well reproduced.

A new imperical formula [14], with only three parameters, is proposed for cluster decay half-lives. The parameters of the formula are obtained by making least square fit to the available experimental cluster decay data. The calculated half-lives are compared with the results of the earlier proposed models models, experimental available data and show excellent agreement. A simple description of the cluster decay by suggesting a folding cluster-core interaction based on a self-consistant mean-field model [15]. Cluster decay in even-even nuclei above magic numbers have investigated.

Until now scarce informations are available about the actinide region in general and this is due to the experimental difficulties associated with this mass region. The aim of the present work is to:

- (1) calculate the potential energy surfaces,  $V(\beta, \gamma)$ , and know the type of deformation exists;
- (2) calculate levels energy, electromagnetic transition rates  $B(E1)$  and  $B(E2)$ ;
- (3) study the relation between the angular momentum  $I$ , the rotational angular frequency  $\hbar\omega$  and see if there any bending for any of thorium isotopes;
- (4) calculate staggering effect and beat patterns to study the interaction between the  $(+ve)$  and  $(-ve)$  parity bands.

Sohair M. Diab. Low-Lying Collective Levels in <sup>224-234</sup>Th Nuclei 97

nucleus	EPS	PAIR	ELL	QQ	OCT	HEX	E2SD(eb)	E2DD(eb)
$224$ Th	0.2000	0.000	0.0081	$-0.0140$	0.0000	0.0000	0.2150	$-0.6360$
$226$ Th	0.2000	0.000	0.0058	$-0.0150$	0.0000	0.0000	0.2250	$-0.6656$
$228$ Th	0.2000	0.0000	0.0052	$-0.0150$	0.0000	0.0000	0.1874	$-0.5543$
$230$ Th	0.2000	0.0000	0.0055	$-0.0150$	0.0000	0.0000	0.1874	$-0.5543$
$232$ Th	0.2000	0.0000	0.0055	$-0.0150$	0.0000	0.0000	0.1820	$-0.5384$
$234$ Th	0.2000	0.0000	0.0063	$-0.0150$	0.0000	0.0000	0.1550	$-0.4585$

Table 1: Parameters used in IBA-1 Hamiltonian (all in MeV).

# 2 (IBA-1) model

### 2.1 Level energies

The IBA-1 model was applied to the positive and negative parity low-lying states in even-even <sup>224</sup>-<sup>234</sup>Th isotopes. The proton,  $\pi$ , and neutron,  $\nu$ , bosons are treated as one boson and the system is considered as an interaction between s-bosons and *d*-bosons. Creation  $(s^{\dagger}d^{\dagger})$  and annihilation  $(s\tilde{d})$  operators are for s and d bosons. The Hamiltonian [16] employed for the present calculation is given as:

$$
H = EPS \cdot n_d + PAIR \cdot (P \cdot P)
$$
  
+  $\frac{1}{2} ELL \cdot (L \cdot L) + \frac{1}{2} QQ \cdot (Q \cdot Q)$  (1)  
+5*OCT* \cdot (T<sub>3</sub> \cdot T<sub>3</sub>) + 5 $HEX \cdot (T_4 \cdot T_4)$ ,

where

$$
P \cdot p = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \left\{ (s^{\dagger} s^{\dagger})_0^{(0)} - \sqrt{5} (d^{\dagger} d^{\dagger})_0^{(0)} \right\} x \\ \left\{ (s s)_0^{(0)} - \sqrt{5} (\tilde{a}\tilde{d})_0^{(0)} \right\} \end{array} \right]_0^{(0)}, \tag{2}
$$

$$
L \cdot L = -10 \sqrt{3} \left[ (d^{\dagger} \tilde{d})^{(1)} x (d^{\dagger} \tilde{d})^{(1)} \right]_{0}^{(0)}, \qquad (3)
$$

$$
Q \cdot Q = \sqrt{5} \left[ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ (S^{\dagger} \tilde{d} + d^{\dagger} s)^{(2)} - \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2} (d^{\dagger} \tilde{d})^{(2)} \right\} x \\ \left\{ (s^{\dagger} \tilde{d} + \tilde{d} s)^{(2)} - \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2} (d^{\dagger} \tilde{d})^{(2)} \right\} \end{array} \right]_{0}^{(0)}, \quad (4)
$$

$$
T_3 \cdot T_3 = -\sqrt{7} \left[ \left( d^\dagger \tilde{d} \right)^{(2)} x \left( d^\dagger \tilde{d} \right)^{(2)} \right]_0^{(0)}, \tag{5}
$$

$$
T_4 \cdot T_4 = 3 \left[ \left( d^{\dagger} \tilde{d} \right)^{(4)} x \left( d^{\dagger} \tilde{d} \right)^{(4)} \right]_0^{(0)} . \tag{6}
$$

In the previous formulas,  $n_d$  is the number of boson;  $P \cdot P$ , L L, Q Q,  $T_3$   $T_3$  and  $T_4$   $T_4$  represent pairing, angular momentum, quadrupole, octupole and hexadecupole interactions between the bosons;  $EPS$  is the boson energy; and  $PAIR$ ,  $ELL, QQ, OCT, HEX$  is the strengths of the pairing, angular momentum, quadrupole, octupole and hexadecupole interactions.

# 2.2 Transition rates

The electric quadrupole transition operator [16] employed in this study is given by:

$$
T^{(E2)} = E2SD \cdot (s^{\dagger} \tilde{d} + d^{\dagger} s)^{(2)} + + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} E2DD \cdot (d^{\dagger} \tilde{d})^{(2)}.
$$
 (7)

The reduced electric quadrupole transition rates between  $I_i \rightarrow I_f$  states are given by

$$
B(E_2, I_i - I_f) = \frac{\left[ \langle I_f \parallel T^{(E_2)} \parallel I_i \rangle \right]^2}{2I_i + 1}.
$$
 (8)

#### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 The potential energy surface

The potential energy surfaces [17],  $V(\beta, \gamma)$ , for thorium isotopes as a function of the deformation parameters  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ have been calculated using :

$$
E_{N_{\Pi}N_{\nu}}(\beta,\gamma) = \langle N_{\pi}N_{\nu}; \beta\gamma | H_{\pi\nu}|N_{\pi}N_{\nu}; \beta\gamma \rangle =
$$
  
\n
$$
= \zeta_d(N_{\nu}N_{\pi})\beta^2(1+\beta^2) + \beta^2(1+\beta^2)^{-2}\times
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \left\{kN_{\nu}N_{\pi}[4-(\bar{X}_{\pi}\bar{X}_{\nu})\beta\cos 3\gamma]\right\} +
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \left\{[\bar{X}_{\pi}\bar{X}_{\nu}\beta^2] + N_{\nu}(N_{\nu}-1)(\frac{1}{10}c_0 + \frac{1}{7}c_2)\beta^2\right\},
$$
  
\n(9)

where

$$
\bar{X}_{\rho} = \left(\frac{2}{7}\right)^{0.5} X_{\rho} \quad \rho = \pi \text{ or } \upsilon \,. \tag{10}
$$

The calculated potential energy surfaces,  $V(\beta, \gamma)$ , for thorium series of isotopes are presented in Fig. 1. It shows that all nuclei are deformed and have rotational-like characters. The prolate deformation is deeper than oblate in all nuclei except <sup>230</sup>Th.The two wells on both oblate and prolate sides are equals and O(6) characters is expected to the nucleus. The energy and electromagnetic magnetic transition rates ratio are not in favor to that assumption and it is treated as a rotationallike nucleus.

98 Sohair M. Diab. Low-Lying Collective Levels in <sup>224</sup>-<sup>234</sup>Th Nuclei

$I_i^+ I_f^+$	$^{224}\mathrm{Th}$	$^{226}\mathrm{Th}$	$228$ Th	$230$ Th	$232$ Th	$234$ Th
$0_1$ Exp. $2_1$		6.85(42)	7.06(24)	8.04(10)	9.28(10)	8.00(70)
$01$ Theor. $21$	4.1568	6.8647	7.0403	8.038	9.2881	8.0559
$2_{1}$ $0_{1}$	0.8314	1.3729	1.4081	1.6076	1.8576	1.6112
$2_{2}$ $0_{1}$	0.0062	0.0001	0.0044	0.0088	0.0105	0.0079
$2_{2}$ $0_{2}$	0.4890	0.8357	0.8647	1.0278	1.2683	1.1659
$2_3 0_1$	0.0127	0.0272	0.0211	0.0157	0.0122	0.0075
$2_3 0_2$	0.1552	0.0437	0.0020	0.0023	0.0088	0.0099
$2_3$ $0_3$	0.1102	0.0964	0.0460	0.0203	0.0079	0.0023
$2_4$ $0_3$	0.2896	0.4907	0.5147	0.6271	0.8048	0.7786
2404	0.1023	0.0709	0.0483	0.0420	0.0385	0.0990
$2_{2}$ $2_{1}$	0.1837	0.1153	0.0599	0.0387	0.0286	0.0174
$2_3 2_1$	0.0100	0.0214	0.0211	0.0198	0.0178	0.0118
$2_3 2_2$	0.8461	1.0683	0.5923	0.2989	0.1538	0.0697
$4_1 2_1$	1.3733	2.0662	2.0427	2.2957	2.6375	2.2835
$4_1 2_2$	0.0908	0.1053	0.0764	0.0579	0.0445	0.0266
$4_12_3$	0.0704	0.0325	0.0104	0.0038	0.0018	0.0008
$6_14_1$	1.5696	2.2921	2.2388	2.4979	2.8606	2.4745
$6_14_2$	0.0737	0.0858	0.0685	0.0585	0.0493	0.0312
$6_1$ 4 <sub>3</sub>	0.0584	0.0404	0.0198	0.0106	0.0061	0.0029
$8_16_1$	1.5896	2.3199	2.2720	2.5381	2.9105	2.5220
$8_16_2$	0.0569	0.0660	0.0554	0.0511	0.0466	0.0314
8 <sub>1</sub> 6 <sub>3</sub>	0.0483	0.0421	0.0256	0.0166	0.0109	0.0055
$10_1 8_1$	1.4784	2.2062	2.1948	2.4760	2.8586	2.4899
$10_1 8_2$	0.0448	0.0513	0.0438	0.0422	0.0407	0.0290

Table 2: Values of the theoretical reduced transition probability,  $B(E2)$  (in  $e^2 b^2$ ).

		$^{226}\mathrm{Th}$			$^{232}\mathrm{Th}$	$234$ Th
$I_i^ I_f^+$	$^{224}\mathrm{Th}$		$228$ Th	$230$ Th		
$1_1 0_1$	0.0428	0.0792	0.1082	0.1362	0.1612	0.1888
$1 \cdot 0$ <sub>2</sub>	0.0942	0.0701	0.0583	0.0534	0.0515	0.0495
$3_{1}$ $2_{1}$	0.1607	0.1928	0.2209	0.2531	0.2836	0.3227
$3_1 2_2$	0.0733	0.0829	0.0847	0.0817	0.0768	0.0717
$3_1 2_3$	0.0360	0.0157	0.0054	0.0013	0.0002	0.0000
$3_1$ 4 <sub>1</sub>	0.0233	0.0441	0.0652	0.0884	0.1150	0.1384
$3_1$ 4 <sub>2</sub>	0.0170	0.0285	0.0371	0.0424	0.0460	0.0449
$5_1$ 4 <sub>1</sub>	0.2873	0.3131	0.3363	0.3657	0.3946	
$5.4_2$	0.0787	0.0834	0.0868	0.0865	0.0835	
$5.4_3$	0.0160	0.0101	0.0051	0.0020	0.0006	
7,6	0.4178	0.4387	0.4581	0.4839	0.5100	
$7_16_2$	0.0732	0.0757	0.0798	0.0817	0.0812	
9, 8,	0.5532	0.5690	0.5848	0.6070	0.6301	
$9_18_2$	0.0639	0.0665	0.0707	0.0735	0.0748	

Table 3: Values of the theoretical reduced transition probability,  $B(E1)$  (in  $\mu e^2 b$ ).





Fig. 1: Potential Energy surfaces for <sup>224</sup>-<sup>234</sup>Th nuclei.



Fig. 2: Comparison between experimental (Exp.) and theoretical (IBA-1) energy levels in <sup>224</sup>-<sup>234</sup>Th.

100 Sohair M. Diab. Low-Lying Collective Levels in <sup>224-234</sup>Th Nuclei

### 3.2 Energy spectra

IBA-1 model has been used in calculating the energy of the positive and negative parity low -lying levels of thorium series of isotopes. In many deformed actinide nuclei the negative parity bands have been established and these nuclei are considered as an octupole deformed. A simple means to examine the nature of the band is to consider the ratio R which for octupole band,  $R \succ 1$ , and defined as [18]:

$$
R = \frac{E(I+3) - E(I-1)_{NPB}}{E(I) - E(I-2)_{GSB}}.
$$
 (11)

In the present calculations all values of R for thorium series of isotopes are  $\succ$  1, and we treated them as octupole deformed nuclei.

A comparison between the experimental spectra [19–24] and our calculations, using values of the model parameters given in Table 1 for the ground and octupole bands, are illustrated in Fig. 2. The agreement between the calculated levels energy and their correspondence experimental values for all thorium nuclei are slightly higher especially for the higher excited states. We believe this is due to the change of the projection of the angular momentum which is due to band crossing and octupole deformation.

Unfortunately there is no enough measurements of electromagnetic transition rates  $B(E2)$  or  $B(E1)$  for these series of nuclei. The only measured  $B(E2, 0_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ 's are presented, in Table's 2,3 for comparison with the calculated values. The parameters  $E2SD$  and  $E2DD$  used in the present calculations are determined by normalizing the calculated values to the experimentally known ones and displayed in Table 1.

For calculating  $B(E1)$  and  $B(E2)$  electromagnetic transition rates of intraband and interaband we did not introduce any new parameters. Some of the calculated values are presented in Fig. 3 and show bending at  $N = 136$ , 142 which means there is an interaction between the  $(+ve)GSB$  and  $(-ve)$  parity octupole bands.

The moment of inertia I and energy parameters  $\hbar\omega$  are calculated using equations (12, 13):

$$
\frac{2I}{\hbar^2} = \frac{4I - 2}{\Delta E(I \to I - 2)},
$$
\n(12)

$$
(\hbar \omega)^2 = (I^2 - I + 1) \left[ \frac{\Delta E (I \to I - 2)}{(2I - 1)} \right]^2.
$$
 (13)

All the plots in Fig. 4 show back bending at angular momentum  $I^+ = 20$  for <sup>230</sup>Th. It means, there is a band crossing and this is confirmed by calculating staggering effect to these series of thorium nuclei. A disturbance of the regular band structure has observed not only in the moment of inertia but also in the decay properties.





Fig. 3: The calculated  $B(E2)$ 's for the ground state band of  $\frac{224-234}{2}$ Th isotopes.

#### 3.3 The staggering

The presence of odd-even parity states has encouraged us to study staggering effect for  $218-230$ Th series of isotopes [10, 12, 25, 26]. Staggering patterns between the energies of the GSB and the  $(-ve)$  parity octupole band have been calculated,  $\Delta I = 1$ , using staggering function equations (14, 15) with the help of the available experimental data [19–24].

$$
Stag(I) = 6\Delta E(I) - 4\Delta E(I-1) - 4\Delta E(I+1)
$$

$$
+ \Delta E(I+2) + \Delta E(I-2), \quad (14)
$$

with

$$
\Delta E(I) = E(I+1) - E(I). \tag{15}
$$

The calculated staggering patterns are illustrated in Fig. 5, where we can see the beat patterns of the staggering behavior which show an interaction between the ground state and the octupole bands.

#### 3.4 Conclusions

The IBA-1 model has been applied successfully to  $224-234$ Th isotopes and we have got:

- 1. The ground state and octupole bands are successfully reproduced;
- 2. The potential energy surfaces are calculated and show rotational behavior to  $2^{24-234}$ Th isotopes where they are mainly prolate deformed nuclei;
- 3. Electromagnetic transition rates  $B(E1)$  and  $B(E2)$ are calculated;
- 4. Bending for  $230$ Th has been observed at angular momentum  $I^+$  = 20;
- 5. Staggering effect has been calculated and beat patterns are obtained which show an interaction between the ground state and octupole bands;

Submitted on February 09, 2008 Accepted on February 13, 2008



Fig. 4: Angular momentum  $I$  as a function of the rotational frequency  $(\hbar \omega)^2$  and  $2I/\hbar^2$  as a function of  $(\hbar \omega)^2$  for the GSB of <sup>230</sup>Th.



Fig. 5:  $\Delta I = 1$ , staggering patterns for the ground state and octupole bands of <sup>224-232</sup>Th isotope.

#### References

- 1. Hinde D. J and Dasgupta M.*Nucl. Phys. A*, 2007, v. 787, 176c.
- 2. Lenis D. and Bonatsos D. *Phys. Lett. B*, 2006, v. 633, 474.
- 3. Xu F. R. and Pei J. C. *Phys. Lett. B*, 2006, v. 642, 322.
- 4. Ghanmugam G., Sudhakar S and Niranjani S.*Phys. Rev. C*, 2005, v. 72, 034310.
- 5. Buck B., Merchant A. C., Perez S. M. and Seals H. E. *J. Phys. G*, 2005, v. 31, 1499.
- 6. Balasubramaniam M., Kumarasamy S. and Arunachalam N. *Phys. Rev. C*, 2004, v. 70, 017301.
- 7. Zhongzhou Ren, Chang Xu and Zaijun Wang. *Phys. Rev. C*, 2004, v. 70, 034304.
- 8. Shneidman T. M., Adamian G. G., Antonenko N. V., Jolos R. V. and Scheid W. *Phys. Rev. C*, 2003, v. 67, 014313.
- 9. Buck B., Merchant A. C. and Perez S. M. *Phys. Rev. C*, 2003, v. 68, 024313.
- 10. Ganev V. P., Garistov V. P., and Georgieva A. I. *Phys. Rev. C*, 2004, v. 69, 014305.
- 11. Minkov N., Drenska S. B.,Drenska S. B., Raychev P. P., Roussev R. P. and Bonatsos D. *Phys. Rev. C*, 2001, v. 63, 044305.
- 12. Bonatsos D., Daskaloyannis C., Drenska S. B., Karoussos N., Minkov N., Raychev P. P. and Roussev R. P. *Phys. Rev. C*, 2000, v. 62, 024301.
- 13. Adamian G. G., Antonenko N. V., Jolos R. V., Palchikov Yu. V., Scheid W. and Shneidman T. M. *Nucl. Phys. A*, 2004, v. 734, 433.
- 14. Buck B., Merchant A. C., Horner M. J. and Perez S. M. *Phys. Rev. C*, 2000, v. 61, 024314.
- 15. Zamfir N. V. and Kusnezov D. *Phys. Rev. C*, 2001, v. 63, 054306.
- 16. Feshband H. and Iachello F. *Ann. Phys.*, 1974, v. 84, 211.
- 17. Ginocchio J. N and Kirson M. W. *Nucl. Phys. A*, 1980, v. 350, 31.
- 18. Gross E., de Boer J., Diamond R.M., Stephens F. S. and Tjom P. *Phys. Rev. Lett*, 1975, v. 35, 565.
- 19. Agda Artna-Cohen. *Nucl. Data Sheets*, 1997, v. 80, 227.
- 20. Akovali Y. A. *Nucl. Data Sheets*, 1996, v. 77, 433.
- 21. Agda Artna-Cohen. *Nucl. Data Sheets*, 1997, v. 80, 723.
- 22. Akovali Y. A. *Nucl. Data Sheets*, 1993, v. 69, 155.
- 23. Browne E. *Nucl. Data Sheets*, 2006, v. 107, 2579.
- 24. Browne E. *Nucl. Data Sheets*, 2007, v. 108, 681.
- 25. Minkov N., Yotov P., Drenska S. and Scheid W. *J. Phys. G*, 2006, v. 32, 497.
- 26. Minkov N., Drenska S. B., Raychev P. P., Roussev R. P.and Bonatsos D. *Phys. Rev. C*, 2001, v. 63, 044305.